UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

FRONTIER AIRLINES, INC.

Case No.: 1:20-cv-09713-LLS

Plaintiff,

PLAINTIFF'S DEPOSITION

v.

DESIGNATIONS WITH DEFENDANTS' OBJECTIONS AND PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSES

AMCK AVIATION HOLDINGS IRELAND LIMITED, ACCIPITER INVESTMENT 4 LIMITED, VERMILLION AVIATION (TWO) LIMITED, WELLS FARGO TRUST COMPANY, N.A., solely in its capacity as OWNER TRUSTEE, and UMB BANK, N.A., solely in its capacity as OWNER TRUSTEE,

Defendants.	

PLAINTIFF'S DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(3), Plaintiff Frontier Airlines, Inc. submits its Deposition Designations, along with Defendants' Objections and Plaintiff's Responses. Below is the testimony that Frontier expects to present by deposition:

1. Fabian Bachrach – Deposition Date March 15, 2022

Fabian Bachrach		
Page:Line	Defendants' Objection	Plaintiff's Response
8:22-25		
10:24-11:19		
30:6-32:22		
58:11-17		
74:16-75:20		
8:22-25		
86:11-23		
86:25-87:6		
97:4-98:12		

2. Francis Lee – Deposition Date April 29, 2022

Francis Lee Page:Line	Defendants' Objection	Plaintiff's Response
7:4-7	· ·	-
7:25-8:1		
8:7-8:12		
10:6-23		
11:10-12:6		
13:11-13:25	14:1-17 should in	Disagree. FRE 402
	fairness be included.	Relevance.
14:18-15:4	15:5-13 should in	Disagree. FRE 403
	fairness be included.	Cumulative.
15:14-16:2	16:3-17:4 should in	Disagree. FRE 402
	fairness be included.	Relevance; FRE 403
		Wasting Time.
21:25-22:4		
22:6-16		
24:3-25:9		
26:23-28:8		
34:13-35:4		
38:18-39:6		
43:24-45:3		
46:1-47:13		
47:23-49:3		
68:3-16		
70:12-17		
72:1-77:1	77:4-12 should in fairness	Disagree. FRE 402
	be included.	Relevance; FRE 403
		Wasting Time.
77:13-78:16		
79:2-4		
107:16-108:10	108:11-19 should in	Disagree. Move to strike
	fairness be included.	as non-responsive.

3. Gerald Ma – Deposition Date April 27, 2022

Gerald Ma Page:Line	Defendants' Objection	Plaintiff's Response
9:16-19		
10:3-4		
10:8-9		
11:12-15		
12:15-23		
13:9-24		
14:4-17		
15:11-14		

Gerald Ma Page:Line	Defendants' Objection	Plaintiff's Response
16:17-17:1		•
18:4-12		
18:22-19:6		
19:10-15		
19:24-20:1		
23:9-24:18		
26:9-27:1	25:18-26:6 should in	Disagree. Move to strike
	fairness be included.	as non-responsive.
27:12-14		·
28:8-25		
31:10-32:4	32:5-14 should in fairness	Disagree. Move to strike
	be included.	as non-responsive.
35:22-36:6	36:7-37:5 should in	Disagree. FRE 402
	fairness be included.	Relevance; FRE 403
		Wasting Time.
38:13-20		
38:22-24		
39:6-12		
40:7-40:22		
41:17-42:7		
44:22-45:23		
46:3-48:1		
53:17-54:8		
54:11-63:6		
68:9-25		
69:14-70:2		
70:7-74:3		
75:4-76:3		
76:11-77:4		
78:5-23		
80:24-81:14		
81:17-82:10	Document discussed has	Disagree. Identical text is
	not been identified as a	included in Joint Trial
	trial exhibit.	Ex. 78.
83:13-84:2		
84:5-85:7		
85:13-88:11		
90:3-91:3		
92:13-93:24		
96:6-13		
96:24-98:17		
100:13-102:5		
102:16-103:6		
104:23-106:16		

Gerald Ma Page:Line	Defendants' Objection	Plaintiff's Response
107:21-109:11		
110:16-20		
110:22-111:11		
111:13-24		
112:12-113:21	Document discussed has	Disagree. This is Joint
112112 110121	not been identified as a trial exhibit	Trial Ex. 101.
114:17-116:23		
117:5-20		
118:6-15		
118:19-120:21		
122:1-21		
125:7-10		
125:24-127:2	This is an incomplete question without an answer and should be withdrawn	Scrivener's error corrected and resolves objection.
131:2-7	130:8-25 should in	Disagree. Move to strike
126.20 129.25	fairness be included.	as non-responsive.
136:20-138:25	139:1-6 should in fairness	Discours Massa to staile
139:9-140:15	be included.	Disagree. Move to strike as non-responsive.
144:12-145:10		
145:14-146:9		
146:25-149:16		
150:13-153:2		
154:1-158:15		
158:22-161:10		
162:8-164:6		
165:3-166:1		
168:14-20		
171:2-23		
172:24-173:15		
174:4-175:5		
175:7-176:2		
177:2-178:6	176:3-24 should in fairness be included.	Disagree. Move to strike as non-responsive.
177:2-12		_
177:14-178:6		
178:23-179:2		
180:2-181:1		
182:21-183:25		

4. Michael McInerney – Deposition Date March 11, 2022

Michael McInerney	D.f., 1., 4., Ok., 4.,	DI.:4:600 D
Page:Line	Defendants' Objection	Plaintiff's Response
12:18-14:5		
14:13-15:25		
16:1-16		
19:3-20:21		
21:2-22:1		
23:5-11	22:9-23:4 should in	Disagree. FRE 402
25.5-11	fairness be included.	Relevance; FRE 403
	farmess be meruded.	Wasting Time.
23:22-24:8	23:12-21 should in	Disagree. FRE 402
23.22 20	fairness be included	Relevance; FRE 403
		Wasting Time.
26:3-17		8
27:22-24		
28:23-29:16		
31:21-32:14		
38:14-21		
40:15-21		
42:18-43:15		
50:3-9		
51:5-11		
52:8-14		
53:25-54:4		
55:22-56:11		
59:7-60:2		
62:19-25		
63:19-63:24		
66:3-67:12		
69:1-71:21		
94:25-95:10		
95:15-96:21		
96:23-97:15		
97:18-99:18		
99:20-100:22		
103:4-105:13		
108:21-109:15		
131:24-132:3		
132:5-12		
134:1-19		

5. Ronan Murphy – Deposition Date March 21, 2022

Ronan Murphy Page:Line	Defendants' Objection	Plaintiff's Response
6:9-12		
8:24-10:2		
10:19-11:5		
12:17-25		
16:4-17		
17:22-18:3		
18:7-19:2		
19:5-18		
21:2-4		
21:22-23:2		
26:13-27:7		
51:22-52:10		
55:15-25		
77:6-16	Document discussed has	Testimony is relevant and
	not been identified as a	admissible.
	trial exhibit	
78:4-22	Document discussed has	Testimony is relevant and
	not been identified as a	admissible.
	trial exhibit	
79:18-83:5	Document discussed has	Testimony is relevant and
	not been identified as a	admissible.
	trial exhibit	
92:10-94:25		
106:9-10	Document discussed has	Testimony is relevant and
	not been identified as a	admissible.
	trial exhibit	
108:19-110:24	Document discussed has	Testimony is relevant and
	not been identified as a	admissible; Willing to
	trial exhibit; 102:9-	add 102:9-15, other
	103:10 should in fairness	portions excluded under
125 21 126 4	be included.	FRE 403 Wasting Time.
135:21-136:4	Document discussed has	Testimony is relevant and
	not been identified as a	admissible.
126.20 127.19	trial exhibit	Tastimana is all and 1
136:20-137:18	Document discussed has not been identified as a	Testimony is relevant and
		admissible; FRE 403
	trial exhibit; 136:5-19 should in fairness be	Wasting Time.
	included	
	menuaea	

6. Jane O'Callaghan – Deposition Date March 23, 2022

Jane O'Callaghan		
Page:Line	Defendants' Objection	Plaintiff's Response
6:7-11		
7:4-13		
9:2-12		
9:22-11:3		
11:7-12:2		
17:4-18:10		
19:19-23:5		
25:3-19	Document discussed has not been identified as a trial exhibit	Testimony is relevant and admissible.
33:6-38:6		
38:13-40:11		
41:23-42:5		
44:15-45:21		
52:19-53:14		
55:11-56:5		
56:15-58:7		
62:8-22		
63:11-64:24		
65:2-8		
66:4-67:22		
68:7-70:21		
70:22-71:11		
72:4-76:4		
78:12-80:19		
82:3-84:25		
86:23-91:6	Document discussed has	Testimony is relevant and admissible.
	not been identified as a trial exhibit	and admissible.
94:3-17	Document discussed has	Testimony is relevant
	not been identified as a	and admissible.
	trial exhibit	
95:16-97:5	Document discussed has	Testimony is relevant
	not been identified as a	and admissible.
	trial exhibit	
97:14-15	Document discussed has	Testimony is relevant
	not been identified as a	and admissible.
	trial exhibit	
97:22-99:4	Document discussed has	Testimony is relevant
	not been identified as a	and admissible.
	trial exhibit	
101:19-104:16		

Jane O'Callaghan		
Page:Line	Defendants' Objection	Plaintiff's Response
104:24-106:4	Document discussed has	Testimony is relevant
101.21 100.1	not been identified as a	and admissible.
	trial exhibit	
107:25-110:22		
111:5-112:10		
112:17-117:20		
118:14-120:9		
120:20-121:25		
123:15-125:7		
125:8-128:4	128:5-128:22 should in	Disagree. FRE 402
	fairness be included.	Relevance; FRE 403
		Wasting Time.
128:23-133:10		
133:13-135:3		
137:3-138:4		
138:11-19		
139:4-144:23		
145:8-146:6		
147:12-148:12		
148:19-150:20	Document discussed has	Testimony is relevant
	not been identified as a	and admissible.
	trial exhibit	
151:18-152:8		
153:9-154:8		
161:4-162:19		
169:18-170:14		
170:19-177:4		
178:18-179:25	Document discussed has	Testimony is relevant
	not been identified as a	and admissible.
	trial exhibit	
180:8-20		
181:10-184:3		
184:15-187:22		
188:2		
192:2-11		
194:8-196:3	195:23-196:3 This is a	Disagree. The question
	question without answer	continues and completes
	and should be	at 197:8-10, which is
	withdrawn.	designated below along
		with the answer at
107.0 12		197:11-13.
197:8-13		
202:14-18		

Jane O'Callaghan		
Page:Line	Defendants' Objection	Plaintiff's Response
204:10-14		_
205:18-209:15	209:16-209:24 should in	Disagree. Move to strike
	fairness be included	as non-responsive.
210:4-8		-
210:15-24	210:25-211:8 and	Disagree. FRE 402
	211:14-18 should in	Relevance; FRE 403
	fairness be included.	Wasting Time,
		Confusing.
211:19		
212:16-216:3		
217:2-221:9		
222:9-13		
222:24-223:19		
224:21-226:10		
229:4-7		
239:8-240:10	Document discussed has	Testimony is relevant
	not been identified as a	and admissible.
	trial exhibit	
240:22-25	Document discussed has	Testimony is relevant
	not been identified as a	and admissible.
	trial exhibit	
245:9-247:4	Inadmissible under	Disagree. FRE 408 does
	Federal Rule of Evidence	not preclude this exhibit.
	408.	Contents of this exhibit
		are already part of the
		case record. Any such
		objection has been
240 10 254 16	T 1 ' '11 1	waived.
248:18-254:16	Inadmissible under	Disagree. FRE 408 does
	Federal Rule of Evidence	1 -
	408.	Contents of this exhibit
		are already part of the
		case record. Any such objection has been
		waived.
256:19-24		
257:2-8		
257:16-259:21		

DATED: March 25, 2024

LANE POWELL PC

By:s/David G. Hosenpud

David G. Hosenpud (*pro hac vice*) 601 SW Second Avenue, Suite 2100 Portland, Oregon 97204-3158 Telephone No.: 503.778.2100 Facsimile No.: 503.778.2200

E-mail: hosenpudd@lanepowell.com

Aaron Schaer, (pro hac vice) 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4200 P.O. Box 91302 Seattle, WA 98111-9402 Telephone: 206.223.7103

Facsimile: 206.223.7103

E-mail: schaera@lanepowell.com

-and-

BINDER & SCHWARTZ LLP

Neil S. Binder 675 Third Avenue, 26th Floor New York, New York 10017 Telephone No.: 212.510.7008 Facsimile No.: 212.510.7299

E-mail: nbinder@binderschwartz.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Frontier Airlines, Inc.